



## Optimal control applications in transport theory

Kuang Zhifeng , Yang Mingzhu & Zhu Guangtian

To cite this article: Kuang Zhifeng , Yang Mingzhu & Zhu Guangtian (1998) Optimal control applications in transport theory, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics, 27:5-7, 691-700, DOI: [10.1080/00411459808205651](https://doi.org/10.1080/00411459808205651)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00411459808205651>



Published online: 20 Aug 2006.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 11



View related articles [↗](#)

## OPTIMAL CONTROL APPLICATIONS IN TRANSPORT THEORY

Kuang Zhifeng, Yang Mingzhu

*Institute of Atomic Energy*

*P.O.Box 275(18), Beijing 102419, P.R.China*

Zhu Guangtian

*Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica*

*Beijing 100080, P.R.China*

### ABSTRACT

The critical neutron flux flattening problem governed by the transport equation is studied in a nonuniform slab with vacuum boundary conditions. Existence and uniqueness theorem of the optimal solution is shown in continuous function space. And it is shown that the continuous-space discrete-ordinates approximation converges to the exact optimal solution while the corresponding controllable function converges in the sense of weak star topology.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Optimal control theory of distributed parameter systems has come into wide use in the nuclear field, both to nuclear design problems and to nuclear plant operation problems[1]. One type of the problems is how to design a reactor with the least amount of fuel. Many theoretical studies[2-5] concerning this subject have shown that the condition for minimum critical mass is a flattening of the neutron flux distribution in the fuel region. And a few experimental works[6] have been published to suggest that the condition is generally satisfied. The condition implies that it is a very interesting subject to explore ways to flatten the neutron flux in order to achieve, with a minimum amount of fuel, a critical assembly. In this paper, we are concerned with the neutron flux flattening problem governed by the steady-state transport equation in a nonuniform slab reactor with vacuum boundary conditions. We try to show that the neutron flux can be flattened by manipulating the fissile material distribution. First, we establish the mathematical model from the view of optimal control theory[7]. Then we show the existence-uniqueness theorem of the optimal solution. Lastly we consider the discrete-ordinates approximation of the optimal solution and show that it converges to the exact optimal solution in max norm while the corresponding controllable function converges in the sense of weak star topology.

## 2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider the critical problem for mono-energetic neutron transport in a nonuniform slab of thickness  $2a$  surrounded either by vacuum or by a perfect absorber. The neutron transport can be described by the following integral equation[8]

$$\phi = \int_{-a}^a K(x, x') u(x') \phi(x') dx', \quad (2.1)$$

$$K(x, x') = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x') \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \exp(-|\int_x^{x'} \sigma(s) ds| t), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\phi$  is the neutron flux,  $\sigma(x)$  is the total cross section,  $u(x)$  is the mean number of secondaries per collision.

Let's choose the deviation of the flux distribution from its average value as the performance index[cf.1]. The smaller it is, the flatter the flux is. So the neutron flux flattening problem is to

$$\text{minimize } F(\phi) = \int_{-a}^a |\phi(x) - m\phi|^2 dx, \quad (2.3)$$

while the state variable  $\phi \geq 0$  satisfies the integral equation (2.1) and makes all the fuel operate at the same specific power, which can be expressed by

$$\int_{-a}^a \sigma(x) u(x) \phi(x) dx = \frac{P}{2}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $m\phi$  denotes the mean value of  $\phi$ , that is  $m\phi = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-a}^a \phi(x) dx$ .

**Remarks:** What we mean by a function  $g(\cdot) \geq 0$  is that  $g(\cdot) \geq 0$  and there exists a positive measure set in which  $g(\cdot) > 0$ .

Let's take  $u(x)$  as the controllable function, and it belongs to the admissible control set denoted by

$$U \equiv \{u \in X : 0 \leq u(x) \leq C_0\},$$

where  $C_0$  is a positive constant, and  $X \equiv L^\infty[-a, a]$ , the usual Banach space consisting of all essentially bounded functions on  $[-a, a]$ .

Let  $Q = [-a, a]$ ,  $Z$  be the state space consisting of continuous functions in  $Q$  with the maximum norm  $\|\cdot\| = \max_{x \in Q} |\cdot(x)|$ , and  $W$  denote the set  $\{\phi \in Z : \text{there exists } u \in U \text{ such that } (u, \phi) \text{ satisfies (2.1) and (2.4), and } \phi \geq 0\}$ . Then the neutron flux flattening problem can be written as the minimization problem

$$F(\phi^*) = \min_{\phi \in W} F(\phi), \quad \phi^* \in W \quad (2.5)$$

### 3. EXISTENCE-UNIQUENESS THEOREM

In this paper, we assume that  $\sigma(x)$  is a positive continuous function on

$[-a, a]$ , and  $0 < \sigma_m = \min_{z \in [-a, a]} \sigma(x) \leq \sigma(x) \leq \max_{z \in [-a, a]} \sigma(x) = \sigma_M$ .

Considering the transform

$$y = f(x) = \int_{-a}^x \sigma(s) ds - \frac{\Delta_0}{2}, \quad \Delta_0 = \int_{-a}^a \sigma(s) ds \quad (3.1)$$

we can convert Eq.(2.1) into the following equivalent equation

$$\tilde{\phi}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\frac{\Delta_0}{2}}^{\frac{\Delta_0}{2}} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \exp(-|y - y'|t) \tilde{u}(y') \tilde{\phi}(y') dy' \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}(y) = \phi(f^{-1}(y))$  and  $\tilde{u}(y) = u(f^{-1}(y))$ . Hence, without loss of generality, we assume that  $\sigma(x) = 1$  in the following.

Let us define integral operators  $K_1$  from  $Z$  to  $Z$ ,  $K_2$  from  $X$  to  $Z$ , and  $K_3$  from  $L^2(Q)$  to  $L^2(Q)$ , the usual Hilbert space composed of square integrable functions, by

$$K_i \bullet = \int_{-a}^a K(x, x') \bullet (x') dx', \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (3.3)$$

**Lemma 3.1** (1) if  $\phi = K_i \phi$  and  $\phi \geq 0$ , then  $\phi > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$ ;

(2)  $K_1$  is a compact operator from  $Z$  to  $Z$ ;

(3)  $K_2$  is a compact operator from  $X$  to  $Z$ .

(4)  $K_3$  is a compact operator from  $L^2(Q)$  to  $L^2(Q)$ .

Proof. (1) is obvious.

(2) and (3) may be shown by use of Arzela-Ascoli's Theorem(see, e.g.[9, p.248]).

(4) has been shown in Ref.10.

**Lemma 3.2**[cf. 11]  $W \neq \emptyset$  iff  $C_0 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1)}$ , where  $\gamma(K_1)$  denotes the spectral radius of  $K_1$ .

Proof. The same procedure as in Lemma 6 in Ref. 12 shows that  $\gamma(K_1) > 0$ . Theorem 2.1 in Ref. 13 shows that  $\gamma(K_1)$  is an eigenvalue of  $K_1$  with nonnegative eigenfunction  $\phi$ . Let  $\hat{\phi}(x) = \frac{P \gamma(K_1) \phi(x)}{2 \int_{-a}^a \phi(x) dx}$ , then  $\hat{\phi} \in W$  with the corresponding  $\hat{u}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1)}$ .

On the other hand, if  $W \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $u \in U$  and  $\phi > 0$  [Lemma 3.1(1)] such that  $\phi = K_1 u \leq M K_1 \phi$ . Therefore the same reason as in case of Lemma 6 in Ref. 12 shows that  $M \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1)}$ .

**Lemma 3.3** If  $C_0 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1)}$ ,  $W$  is a bounded nonempty set in  $Z$ .

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, x') &= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \exp(-|x' - x|t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\int_1^{+\infty} \exp(-2|x - x'|t) dt]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{|x - x'|^{1/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

there exists a constant  $C_1$  such that

$$K^3(x, x') = \int_{-a}^a \int_{-a}^a K(x, x'') K(x'', x''') K(x''', x') dx'' dx''' \leq C_1. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence for any  $\phi \in W$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \int_{-a}^a K(x, x') u(x') \phi(x') dx' \\ &= \int_{-a}^a [\int_{-a}^a \int_{-a}^a K(x, x'') u(x'') K(x'', x''') u(x''') K(x''', x') dx'' dx'''] u(x') \phi(x') dx' \\ &\leq C_0^2 C_1 P/2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

This shows that  $W$  is bounded.

**Lemma 3.4** If  $C_0 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1)}$ ,  $W$  is a compact convex nonempty set in  $Z$ .

Proof. It is easy to show that  $W$  is a convex set in  $Z$  [cf. 11]. It follows from Lemma 3.1(3) and Lemma 3.3 that  $W$  is a locally compact set. So it is enough to show that  $W$  is closed.

Suppose that  $\{\phi_n\} \subset W$ , and  $\phi_n$  strongly converges to  $\phi_0 \in Z$ . By the definition of  $W$ , there exists  $\{u_n\} \subset U$  such that  $\phi_n = K_3 u_n \phi_n$ .

Since  $U$  is a weak\* closed set in  $X = (L^1(Q))^*$ , the conjugate space of the usual absolutely integrable function space  $L^1(Q)$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$ , still denoted by  $\{u_n\}$ , and  $u_0 \in U$  such that

$$\int_{-a}^a u_n(x) f(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{-a}^a u_0(x) f(x) dx, \text{ for every } f \in L^1(Q), \quad (3.7)$$

And it is easy to see that

$$\int_{-a}^a u_n(x) \phi_n(x) f(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{-a}^a u_0(x) \phi_0(x) f(x) dx, \text{ for every } f \in L^1(Q), \quad (3.8)$$

In particular,

$$\int_{-a}^a u_n(x) \phi_n(x) f(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{-a}^a u_0(x) \phi_0(x) f(x) dx, \text{ for every } f \in L^2(Q), \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.1(4) concludes  $\phi_0 = K_3 u_0 \phi_0$ . That is

$$\phi_0(x) = \int_{-a}^a K(x, x') u_0(x') \phi_0(x') dx', \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore it is easy to find  $\phi_0 \in W$ .

**Lemma 3.5**  $F(\cdot)$  is a strictly convex continuous functional in  $W$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to show the continuity of  $F$ . Now let us show the strictly convexity of  $F$ .

For any  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  in  $W$ , and  $0 < t < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & F(t\phi_1 + (1-t)\phi_2) \\ &= \int_{-a}^a [t(\phi_1(x) - m\phi_1) + (1-t)(\phi_2(x) - m\phi_2)]^2 dx \\ &= t \int_{-a}^a (\phi_1(x) - m\phi_1)^2 dx + (1-t) \int_{-a}^a (\phi_2(x) - m\phi_2)^2 dx \\ &\quad - t(1-t) \int_{-a}^a [(\phi_1(x) - m\phi_1) - (\phi_2(x) - m\phi_2)]^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

If  $\int_{-a}^a [(\phi_1(x) - m\phi_1) - (\phi_2(x) - m\phi_2)]^2 dx = 0$ ,  $(\phi_1(x) - m\phi_1) - (\phi_2(x) - m\phi_2) = 0$ . So  $\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x) = m(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = \text{constant}$ , and the boundary conditions conclude that  $\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x)$ . Therefore we have shown the lemma.

From Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, one may easily obtain the following existence-uniqueness theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** If  $C_0 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1)}$ , there is unique  $\phi^*$  in  $W$  such that

$$F(\phi^*) = \min_{\phi \in W} F(\phi), \quad (3.12)$$

**Corollary 3.1** If  $C_0 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1)}$ , there is a unique  $u^*$  in  $U$  and  $\phi^*$  in  $W$  such that

$$\phi^* = \int_{-a}^a K(x, x') u^*(x') \phi^*(x') dx', \quad (3.13)$$

$$\text{and } \phi^* > 0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\text{and } \int_{-a}^a u^*(x) \phi^*(x) dx = \frac{P}{2} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\text{and } F(\phi^*) = \min_{\phi \in W} F(\phi). \quad (3.16)$$

**Proof.** It follows from [Theorem 2, Ref.11] that  $K_i^{-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , exist. The uniqueness and strict positiveness of  $\phi^*$  in Theorem 3.1 concludes this corollary.

## 4. CONVERGENCE OF THE DISCRETE ORDINATES APPROXIMATION

In this section, we consider the discrete ordinates approximation to the original problem (2.5).

Regarding the quadrature rule we choose such quadrature points  $\mu_{nj}$  and associated weights  $\omega_{nj}$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|j|=1}^n \omega_{nj} f(\mu_{nj}) = \int_{-1}^1 f(\mu) d\mu \quad (4.1)$$

for any  $f$  continuous on  $[-1, 1]$ , and

$$\omega_{n,-j} = \omega_{n,j} > 0, \mu_{n,-j} = -\mu_{n,j}, 0 < \mu_{n1} < \mu_{n2} < \dots < \mu_{nn} = 1.$$

Then one may easily check that the discrete problem analogous to (2.5) is to minimize

$$F(\phi_n) = \int_{-a}^a |\phi_n(x) - m\phi_n|^2 dx, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\phi_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|j|=1}^n \omega_{nj} \psi_n(x, \mu_{nj})$  satisfies

$$\phi_n = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^a \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj} \frac{1}{\mu_{nj}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\mu_{nj}}|x' - x|\right) u(x') \phi_n(x') dx' \quad (4.3)$$

$$\text{and } \phi_n(x) \geq 0 \quad (4.4)$$

$$\text{and } \int_{-a}^a u(x) \phi_n(x) dx = \frac{P}{2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $W_n = \{\phi_n \in Z : \text{there exists } u_n \in U \text{ such that } (u_n, \phi_n) \text{ satisfies (4.3)-(4.5)}\}$ . Then the discrete neutron flux flattening problem analogous to (2.5) can be written as the minimization problem

$$F(\phi_n^o) = \min_{\phi_n \in W_n} F(\phi_n), \quad \phi_n^o \in W_n, \quad (4.6)$$

Let  $K_i^n$  analogous to  $K_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  be defined by

$$K_i^n \phi_n = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^a \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj} \frac{1}{\mu_{nj}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\mu_{nj}}|x' - x|\right) \phi_n(x') dx', \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (4.7)$$

The existence-uniqueness theorem analogous to Corollary 3.1 can be stated by

**Theorem 4.1** If  $C_0 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma(K_1^n)}$ , where  $\gamma(K_1^n)$  denotes the spectral radius of  $K_1^n$ , there is unique  $u_n^o$  in  $U$  and  $\phi_n^o$  in  $W_n$  such that

$$\phi_n^o = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^a \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj} \frac{1}{\mu_{nj}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\mu_{nj}}|x' - x|\right) u_n^o(x') \phi_n^o(x') dx', \quad (4.8)$$

$$\text{and } \phi_n^o \geq 0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\text{and } \int_{-a}^a u_n^o(x) \phi_n^o(x) dx = \frac{P}{2} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\text{and } F(\phi_n^o) = \min_{\phi_n \in W_n} F(\phi_n). \quad (4.11)$$

Now we wonder whether  $\phi_n^o$  and  $u_n^o$  converge to  $\phi^*$  and  $u^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , respectively.

**Lemma 4.1**[14]  $K_i^n$  is collectively compact, and  $K_i^n$  converges uniformly to  $K_i$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Lemma 4.2**[15] For sufficiently large  $n$ , there exist real number  $\lambda_n$  and  $\phi_n^* \in Z$  such that  $\phi_n^* \geq 0$  and that

$$\lambda_n \phi_n^* = K_1^n u^* \phi_n^* \quad (4.12)$$

and that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\phi_n^* \rightarrow \phi^*$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.3** If  $u^*$  is in the interior of  $U$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\hat{\phi}_n^*\}$ , such that  $\hat{\phi}_n^* \in W_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $\hat{\phi}_n^*$  converges strongly to  $\phi^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\phi^*$  is as in Theorem 3.1.

**Proof.** If  $u^*$  is in the interior of  $U$ , then for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\frac{u^*}{\lambda_n} \in U$  and the sequence chosen by

$$\hat{\phi}_n^* = \frac{P\phi_n^*}{2 \int_{-a}^a \frac{u^*(x)}{\lambda_n} \phi_n^*(x) dx}, \quad (4.13)$$

is the desired one, where  $\lambda_n$  and  $\phi_n^*$  are as in Lemma 4.3.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $\phi_n^o$  and  $u_n^o$  be as in Theorem 4.1 and  $\phi^*$  and  $u^*$  be as in Corollary 3.1. If  $u^*$  is in the interior of  $U$ , then there exists a subsequence of  $\{\phi_n^o\}$ , still denoted by  $\{\phi_n^o\}$ , and a subsequence of  $\{u_n^o\}$ , still denoted by  $\{u_n^o\}$ , such that  $\phi_n^o$  converges to  $\phi^*$  in max norm and  $u_n^o$  converges to  $u^*$  in weak star topology.

**Proof.** Since  $\phi_n^o = K_2^n u_n^o \phi_n^o$ , and  $\{u_n^o \phi_n^o\}$  is bounded in  $X$  [cf. Lemma 3.3], the collectively compact of  $K_2^n$  concludes that a subsequence of  $\{\phi_n^o\}$ , still denoted by  $\{\phi_n^o\}$ , converges to some  $\phi^o \in Z$ .

Since  $U$  is a weak\* closed set in  $X$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n^o\}$ , still denoted by  $\{u_n^o\}$ , and  $u^o \in U$  such that

$$\int_{-a}^a u_n^o(x) f(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{-a}^a u^o(x) f(x) dx, \text{ for every } f \in L^1(Q). \quad (4.14)$$

(3.10) shows that

$$K_2 u_n^o \phi_n^o \rightarrow K_2 u^o \phi^o, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.15)$$

So

$$K_2^n u_n^o \phi_n^o = (K_2^n - K_2) u_n^o \phi_n^o + K_2 u_n^o \phi_n^o \rightarrow K_2 u^o \phi^o, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.16)$$

This shows that  $\phi^o = K_2 u^o \phi^o$ . Thus  $\phi^o \in W$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-a}^a |\phi^o(x) - m\phi^o|^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a |\phi_n^o(x) - m\phi_n^o|^2 dx \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a |\hat{\phi}_n^*(x) - m\hat{\phi}_n^*|^2 dx = \int_{-a}^a |\phi^*(x) - m\phi^*|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

The uniqueness of the optimal solution  $\phi^*$  concludes that  $\phi^* = \phi^o$ . And Corollary 3.1 concludes that  $u^o = u^*$ . Therefore the theorem is proved.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is deeply indebted to Professor I. Pazsit and Professor P. F. Zweifel. The former offered him the opportunity to deliver this manuscript at the 15th International Conference on Transport Theory. The Latter's useful discussions at the conference helped him revise it.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Science Foundation of Nuclear Industry of China.

## REFERENCES

1. W. B. Terney and D. C. Wade, Adv. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 10, 1-137(1977)
2. G. Goertzel, J. Nuclear Energy, 2, 193-201(1956)
3. J. Ernest Wilkins Jr., Nucl. Sci. Engineering, 3, 229-232(1959)
4. Richard B. Jones and P. F. Zweifel, Nucl. Sci. Engineering, 59, 429-436(1976)
5. Y. Hirano, et al., J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 28, 595(1991)
6. Y. Yamane, et al., J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 31, 640(1994)
7. J. L. Lions, Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1971
8. B. Davison, Neutron Transport Theory, Oxford Uni. Press, 1957
9. Guo Dajun, Nonlinear Functional Analysis(in Chinese), Shandong Academic Press, 1985
10. J. Mika, J. Mathematical Physics, Vol. 7, No. 5, 839-843(1966)
11. Gong Donggeng, TTSP, 15(4), 479-502(1986)
12. Yang Mingzhu and Zhu Guangtian, Acta Mathematica Scientia (Chinese Ed.), Vol.1, No.1, 1-12(1981)
13. I. Marek, SIAM J. Appl. Math. Vol. 19, No. 3, 607-628(1970)

14. P. M. Anselone and A. G. Gibbs, Convergence of the Discrete Ordinates Method for the Transport Equation, Lecture Notes in Math., 430, 1-27(1974)
15. Yang Mingzhu and Zhu Guangtian, Acta Mathematica Scientia(Chinese Ed.), Vol. 3, No. 4, 419-439(1983)

*Received: 17 September 1997*

*Revised: 29 December 1997*

*Accepted: 12 January 1998*