



Complex eigenvalues of a mono-energetic neutron transport operator

Kuang Zhifeng , Yang Mingzhu & Wang Xu

To cite this article: Kuang Zhifeng , Yang Mingzhu & Wang Xu (1997) Complex eigenvalues of a mono-energetic neutron transport operator, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics, 26:1-2, 253-261, DOI: [10.1080/00411459708221788](https://doi.org/10.1080/00411459708221788)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00411459708221788>



Published online: 20 Aug 2006.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 13



View related articles [↗](#)

COMPLEX EIGENVALUES OF A MONO-ENERGETIC NEUTRON TRANSPORT OPERATOR

Kuang Zhifeng, Yang Mingzhu, Wang Xu
China Institute of Atomic Energy
P.O. Box 275-18, Beijing 102413, P. R. China

ABSTRACT

The complex eigenvalue problem of a mono-energetic neutron transport operator is studied in a homogeneous sphere with spherically symmetric scattering. It is shown that the spectrum involves a countable infinity of complex eigenvalues.

1. Introduction

Let S be a sphere of radius a surrounded by the vacuum. Then the mono-energetic neutron transport operator with spherically symmetric scattering is defined as

$$A\psi = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi - \frac{1-\mu^2}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mu} - \Sigma(r)\psi(r, \mu) + \frac{c(r)}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \psi(r, \mu') d\mu' \quad (1)$$

$$\psi \in D(A) = \{\psi \in H : 1) A\psi \in H; 2) \psi(a, \mu) = 0 \text{ if } \mu < 0\} \quad (2)$$

where $\Sigma(r)$ denotes the total cross section, $c(r)$ denotes the scattering cross section, $\psi(r, \mu)$ is the angular density depending on r , the distance from the center of the sphere and μ is the cosine of the angle the neutron beam makes with the position vector $\mathbf{r}$. H is the Hilbert space of square summable functions with its inner product

$$(\psi, \varphi) = 4\pi \int_0^a r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 \psi(r, \mu) \overline{\varphi(r, \mu)} d\mu \quad (3)$$

First, let us review some results of the spectral analysis of A in the following two cases:

- c1) $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma$ (positive constant), and $c(r) = c$ (positive constant), for $r \leq a$;
 c2) $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma$ (positive constant), and

$$c(r) = \begin{cases} c, & \text{if } a-d \leq r \leq a, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq r < a-d \end{cases}$$

It is well known¹ that in all cases the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of the operator A consists of discrete eigenvalues with $-\infty$ as the only possible accumulation point.

In 1962 R. Van Norton² further considered the existence of the real eigenvalues in the case c1). It is shown that the operator has an infinity of real eigenvalues accumulating at $-\infty$. In 1964 Tian Fangzeng³ showed that the eigenvalues belonging to the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq -\Sigma$ must be real. However, whether complex eigenvalues in the other half-plane exist is not considered up to now, because of the well-known mathematical difficulty.

In 1976 B. Montagnini⁴ considered the existence of the complex eigenvalues in the case c2 and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Let Λ_k be the rectangle in the complex plane with sides parallel to the axes and the points

$$-\Sigma - \frac{1}{a} \ln \frac{k\pi}{\sqrt{2ca}} + i \frac{k\pi}{a}, \quad -\Sigma - \frac{1}{a} \ln \frac{12k\pi}{\sqrt{2ca}} + i \frac{(k+1)\pi}{a},$$

as opposite vertices. Then there exists a real number d_0 , with $0 < d_0 < a$, and an integer $k_0 > 0$ such that for $d < d_0$, $k > k_0$, each Λ_k contains one eigenvalue of A .

In 1993 Xu Bang Qing⁵ improved the relations in Ref. 4, and removed the restriction $d < d_0$. His results can be stated as

Theorem 1.2 Let Λ_k be the rectangle in the complex plane with sides parallel to the axes and the points

$$-\Sigma - \frac{1}{a} \ln \frac{k\pi}{\sqrt{2ca}} + i \frac{k\pi}{a}, \quad -\Sigma - \frac{1}{a} \ln \frac{12k\pi}{\sqrt{2ca}} + i \frac{(k+1)\pi}{a},$$

as opposite vertices. Then for any $0 < d < a$ there exists integer $k_d > 0$ such that for $k > k_d$, each Λ_k contains one eigenvalue of A .

The procedure in Ref. 4 begins with the following so-called asymptotic integral equation

$$\phi(x) = 2\zeta r(\zeta) \int_0^\delta G(x, y; \zeta) \phi(y) dy \quad (4)$$

$$r(\zeta) = \frac{ca}{2} \frac{e^\zeta}{\zeta^2} \quad (5)$$

$$G(x, y; \zeta) = \frac{e^{-\zeta(x+y)}}{1-x-y} \quad (6)$$

where $\phi(x)$ belongs to $L^2(0, \delta)$, $\delta = \frac{d}{2a}$. Only if $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ (i.e., $d < a$), can the asymptotic integral equation (4) be transformed into a linear system with infinitely many unknowns. Therefore, the procedure cannot be applied to the case c1). In this paper, we use Theorem 1.2 as a bridge to show that the operator A in case c1) involves a countable infinity of complex eigenvalues.

2. Preliminary

In this paper, we suppose $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma$. Then we can further assume that $\Sigma = 0$, without loss of generality, since the spectra of A and $A - \Sigma I$ can be obtained from each other by translation, where I is the identity operator.

Just as in Ref. 2, upon the transformation of variables $x = r\mu$, $y = r\sqrt{1-\mu^2}$, the operator A can be expressed by

$$Af = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{c(r)}{2r} \int_{-r}^r f(z, \sqrt{r^2 - z^2}) dz \quad (7)$$

$$f \in D(A) = \{f \in H' : 1) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \in H'; 2) f(-\sqrt{a^2 - y^2}, y) = 0\} \quad (8)$$

where H' is the Hilbert space consisting of square integrable functions defined for $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 \leq a^2$ and $y \geq 0$, and its inner product defined to be

$$(f, g) = 4\pi \int_0^a y dy \int_{-\sqrt{a^2 - y^2}}^{\sqrt{a^2 - y^2}} f(x, y) \overline{g(x, y)} dx \quad (9)$$

Suppose $0 < d_n < a$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, d_n \rightarrow a$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We denote the operator A by A_n , while

$$c(r) = \begin{cases} c, & \text{if } a - d_n \leq r \leq a, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq r < a - d_n \end{cases}$$

and we denote the operator A by A_0 , while $c(r) = c$, for $0 \leq r \leq a$.

Now let us consider the eigenvalue problem

$$A_n f = \lambda f, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (10)$$

Let

$$\phi(r) = \int_{-r}^r f(z, \sqrt{r^2 - z^2}) dz \quad (11)$$

If f is in $D(A)$ and satisfies (10), then

$$\lambda f(x, y) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{c(r)}{2r} \phi(r) \quad (12)$$

By integrating the equation, we obtain

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda x} \int_{-\sqrt{a^2 - y^2}}^x c(\sqrt{z^2 + y^2}) \phi(\sqrt{z^2 + y^2}) dz \quad (13)$$

and

$$\phi(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a c(s) \phi(s) ds \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} e^{-\lambda \omega} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \quad (14)$$

Let $h = L^2(0, a)$ be the usual Hilbert space, $L_{\lambda, n}$ be the integral operator defined by

$$L_{\lambda, n} \phi = \int_0^a L_n(r, s; \lambda) \phi(s) ds, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (15)$$

$$L_0(r, s; \lambda) = \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} e^{-\lambda \omega} \frac{d\omega}{\omega}$$

$$L_n(r, s; \lambda) = \begin{cases} L_0(r, s; \lambda), & \text{if } a - d_n \leq r \leq a, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq r < a - d_n \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $\phi(r)$ defined by (11) is in h , (14) can be expressed by the operator equation

$$\phi = \frac{c}{2} L_{\lambda, n} \phi, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (16)$$

Literally applying Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in Ref.2 to our cases, we obtain

Theorem 2.1 (1) $L_{\lambda, n}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are compact operators in h ;
 (2) The necessary and sufficient condition for $f_n(x, y)$ to be an eigenfunction of A_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is that its associated ϕ_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is an eigenfunction of $L_{\lambda, n}$ with the eigenvalue $2/c$.

Before ending this section, let us refer to [6] for an important conclusion as our Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.2 The operator A_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, has the dominant eigenvalue $\beta_0^{(n)}$ such that $\beta_0^{(n)} > \beta_0^{(0)}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

3. Complex Eigenvalues of A_0

First let us refer to [7] for some notations and a useful theorem. Suppose that X is a Banach space and $B = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is the closed unit ball in X . Let $[X]$ be the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on X .

Definition 3.1: A subset G in $[X]$ is said to be collectively compact if the closure of the set $\{Tx : T \in G, x \in B\}$ is compact in X .

Definition 3.2). A sequence of operators $\{T_n\}$ in $[X]$ is p -order quasi-collectively compact if it has the following properties:

- (i) $T_n^p = K_n + R_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and p is some positive integer;
- (ii) $\{K_n\}$ is collectively compact;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_n\| = 0$.

For simplicity, $\{T_n\}$ is called quasi-collectively compact if $p = 1$.

Theorem 3.1 Let T, T_n be in $[X]$, $\{T_n\}$ quasi-collectively compact, and T_n strongly convergent to T . If λ_n is the eigenvalue of T_n , and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0 \neq 0$, then λ_0 is the eigenvalue of T .

In the following, we denote $E_\tau = \{\lambda \in C : \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \tau\}$, $\tau < 0$

Lemma 3.1 (i) $L_{\lambda,n}$ converges to 0 in operator norm uniformly in n , as $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \rightarrow +\infty$;

(ii) $L_{\lambda,n}$ converges to 0 in operator norm uniformly in n , as $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \rightarrow +\infty$, when $\lambda \in E_\tau$.

Proof: It is easy to show by Schwartz inequality

$$\|L_{\lambda,n}\|^2 \leq \int_0^a \int_0^a |L_0(r, s; \lambda)|^2 dr ds \quad (17)$$

when λ in E_τ ,

$$|L_0(r, s; \lambda)| \leq \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} \frac{e^{-\tau\omega}}{\omega} d\omega \leq \int_{|r-s|}^{2a} \frac{e^{-2\tau a}}{\omega} d\omega = e^{-2\tau a} \ln \frac{2a}{|r-s|}$$

Since $\ln \frac{1}{u} = O(\frac{1}{u^\alpha})$, as $u \rightarrow 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1/2$,

$$\int_0^a \int_0^a |L_0(r, s; \lambda)|^2 dr ds \leq \int_0^a \int_0^a (\ln \frac{2a}{|r-s|})^2 dr ds < +\infty \quad (18)$$

(i) Since

$$\begin{aligned} |L_0(r, s; \lambda)| &\leq \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} \frac{e^{-\operatorname{Re} \lambda \omega}}{\omega} d\omega = \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \lambda \omega} \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega v} dv \\ &= \int_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}^\infty \frac{dv}{v} (e^{-v|r-s|} - e^{-v(r+s)}) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{v} (e^{-v|r-s|} - e^{-v(r+s)}) = \ln \frac{r+s}{|r-s|},$$

so

$$\lim_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \rightarrow +\infty} |L_0(r, s; \lambda)| = 0 \quad (20)$$

From (18), (20) and by Lebesgue Theorem, $\|L_{\lambda, n}\| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in n , as $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \rightarrow +\infty$

(ii) We have

$$L_0(r, s; \lambda) = \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} \frac{e^{-\lambda \omega}}{\omega} d\omega = \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{\lambda + v} (e^{-(\lambda+v)|r-s|} - e^{-(\lambda+v)(r+s)})$$

By use of the formula:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\omega}}{z - \omega} d\omega = \frac{1}{z} \left(1 + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z} \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega^2 e^{-\omega}}{z - \omega} d\omega \right), \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0),$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{\lambda + v} e^{-(\lambda+v)|r-s|} = \frac{e^{-\lambda|r-s|}}{\lambda|r-s|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda|r-s|} + \frac{1}{\lambda|r-s|} \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega^2 e^{-\omega}}{\lambda|r-s| + \omega} d\omega \right)$$

It is easy to calculate that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega^2 e^{-\omega}}{\lambda|r-s| + \omega} d\omega \right| \leq \frac{2}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda||r-s|}$$

and when λ in E_r ,

$$|e^{-\lambda|r-s|}| \leq e^{-\tau|r-s|}$$

So

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{\lambda + v} e^{-(\lambda+v)|r-s|} \right| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \rightarrow +\infty$$

By the same process, we know that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{\lambda + v} e^{-(\lambda+v)(r+s)} \right| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \rightarrow +\infty$$

Therefore,

$$|L_0(r, s; \lambda)| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |Im\lambda| \rightarrow +\infty \quad (21)$$

From (18), (21) and by Lebesgue Theorem, $\|L_{\lambda, n}\| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in n , as $|Im\lambda| \rightarrow +\infty$

Theorem 3.2 Let $\tau(A_n) = \sigma(A_n) \cap E_\tau$, $\tau < \min(0, \beta_0^{(0)})$. Then $\tau(A_n) \neq \emptyset$, and $S = \{\lambda \in C | \lambda \in \tau(A_n), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is a bounded set.

Proof: $\tau(A_n) \neq \emptyset$, as $\tau < \min(0, \beta_0^{(0)})$, is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2. For any sequence $\{\mu_{n_k}\} \subset S$, $\mu_{n_k} \in \sigma(A_{n_k})$, by Theorem 2.1, there exists ϕ_{n_k} in h , such that

$$L_{\mu_{n_k}, n_k} \phi_{n_k} = \frac{2}{c} \phi_{n_k}$$

So

$$\|L_{\mu_{n_k}, n_k}\| \geq \frac{2}{c}$$

By Lemma 3.1, $Re\mu_{n_k} < +\infty$, $|Im\mu_{n_k}| < +\infty$. So the claim is true.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\{\mu_{n_k}\} \subset S$, $\mu_{n_k} \rightarrow \mu_0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

- (i) $\{L_{\mu_{n_k}, n_k}\}$ is quasi-collectively compact;
- (ii) $\{L_{\mu_{n_k}, n_k}\}$ strongly converges to $L_{\mu_0, 0}$;
- (iii) $\mu_0 \in \sigma(A_0)$.

Proof: Let

$$K_k \phi = \int_0^a L_{n_k}(r, s; \mu_0) \phi(s) ds$$

$$R_k \phi = \int_0^a (L_{n_k}(r, s; \mu_{n_k}) - L_{n_k}(r, s; \mu_0)) \phi(s) ds$$

Then

$$L_{\mu_{n_k}, n_k} \phi = \int_0^a L_{n_k}(r, s; \mu_{n_k}) \phi(s) ds = K_k \phi + R_k \phi$$

Since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-\mu_{n_k} \omega} - e^{-\mu_0 \omega}}{\omega} = 0,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{e^{-\mu_{n_k} \omega} - e^{-\mu_0 \omega}}{\omega} \right| \leq \frac{e^{-Re\mu_{n_k} \omega}}{\omega} + \frac{e^{-Re\mu_0 \omega}}{\omega}$$

so from (19) and by Lebesgue Theorem,

$$L_0(r, s; \mu_{n_k}) - L_0(r, s; \mu_0) = \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} \frac{e^{-\mu_{n_k} \omega} - e^{-\mu_0 \omega}}{\omega} d\omega \rightarrow 0,$$

and combining with (18),

$$\|R_k\|^2 \leq \int_0^a \int_0^a |L_0(r, s; \mu_{n_k}) - L_0(r, s; \mu_0)|^2 dr ds \rightarrow 0 \quad (22)$$

For the same reason with [8], we know that $\{K_k\}$ is collectively compact, so (i) is true.

(ii) for any ϕ in X , let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} I_k \phi &= \int_0^a (L_{n_k}(r, s; \mu_{n_k}) - L_0(r, s; \mu_{n_k})) \phi(s) ds \\ I'_k \phi &= \int_0^a (L_0(r, s; \mu_{n_k}) - L_0(r, s; \mu_0)) \phi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|I_k \phi\|^2 \leq \int_0^a \int_0^a |L_0(r, s; \lambda)|^2 dr ds \int_0^{a-d_{n_k}} |\phi(s)|^2 ds \rightarrow 0,$$

and by (22), $\|I'_k \phi\| \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$

(iii) By Theorem 2.1, $2/c$ is an eigenvalue of $L_{\mu_{n_k}, n_k}$. By Theorem 3.1, $2/c$ is an eigenvalue of $L_{\mu_0, 0}$, also. So μ_0 is an eigenvalue of A_0 .

Theorem 3.4 For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that if $n > N$,

$$\sup_{y \in \tau(A_n)} \inf_{x \in \tau(A_0)} |y - x| < \varepsilon, \text{ for any } \tau < \min(0, \beta_0^{(0)})$$

Proof: If the conclusion is false, there exists some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\tau_0 < \min(0, \beta_0^{(0)})$, and an integer sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in \tau_0(A_{n_k})} \inf_{x \in \tau_0(A_0)} |y - x| \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

And there exists $\{y_{n_k}\} \in \tau_0(A_{n_k})$, such that

$$\inf_{x \in \tau_0(A_0)} |y_{n_k} - x| > \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (23)$$

By Theorem 3.2, there exists a convergent subsequence of $\{y_{n_k}\}$, still denoted by $\{y_{n_k}\}$, with $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y_0$. Then

$$\inf_{x \in \tau_0(A_0)} |y_0 - x| \geq \varepsilon_0$$

so $|y_0 - x| \geq \varepsilon_0$, for any $x \in \tau_0(A_0)$. This contradicts (iii) in Theorem 3.3.

Corollary The operator A_0 has a countable infinity of complex eigenvalues.

Proof: Letting $\varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{3\alpha}$, there exists M such that if $n > M$,

$$\sup_{y \in \tau(A_n)} \inf_{x \in \tau(A_0)} |y - x| < \varepsilon, \text{ for any } \tau < \min(0, \beta_0^{(0)})$$

Since $\tau(A_0)$ is a finite set, for any $\lambda \in \tau(A_n)$, there exist $x_\lambda \in \tau(A_0)$ such that $|\lambda - x_\lambda| < \varepsilon$.

Let $\lambda_{k+k_0} \in \Lambda_{k+k_0}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ be the infinite eigenvalues of A_{M+1} chosen as in Theorem 1.2. Then $x_{\lambda_{k+k_0}}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are the infinite eigenvalues of A_0 . So our claim is proved.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Science Foundation of Nuclear Industry of China.

References

1. K. Jorgens, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **11**, 219 (1958)
2. R. Norton, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **15**, 149 (1962)
3. Tian Fangzeng, J. Appl. Numer. Math. **1**(2), 98 (1964) (in Chinese)
4. B. Montagnini, TTSP **5**(2&3), 127 (1976)
5. Xu Bang Qing, TTSP, **22**(6), 819 (1993)
6. Yang Mingzhu, Zhu Guangtian, Wei Zichu, Science Bulletin **21**(8), 374 (1976) (in Chinese)
7. Yang Mingzhu, Zhu Guangtian, Progress in Nuclear Energy **8**, 269 (1981)
8. M. Jung, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **187**, 864 (1994)

Received: 1 February 1996

Accepted: 10 April 1996